

# Performance and Reliability of Decentralized Wastewater Infrastructure

Scott Wallace (Naturally Wallace Consulting)

David Cooper & Clodagh Murphy (ARM Ltd.)

Jaime Nivala (University of Aarhus, Denmark)



# Status of small community wastewater infrastructure in the United States (using the State of Iowa as an example)

- 739 public treatment wastewater treatment systems (as of 2005)
- Population served by those systems: 76% (legacy of the Clean Water Act)
- Number of villages needing sewage treatment: 740
- **Conclusion - Only half of the needed treatment systems have been built!**

# What do un-sewered communities in the US lack? (using the State of Indiana as an example)

- Small size: 78% are less than 100 homes, and 51% are less than 50 homes. (**Small community problem**)
- Financial situation: 90% of residents are “low to moderate income”; 48% are “low income). (**Poor community problem**)
- Self-governance: Small and poor communities typically have little experience in infrastructure management, so operating, maintaining and financially managing a wastewater system is new. (**Lack of access to appropriate technical and financial skills**)

# Why does the US have this problem?

- Clean Water Act passed in 1972 (equivalent to EU Water Quality Framework Directive)
- Grant money allocated based on environmental impact (EPA Construction Grants Program)
- Environmental impact of large cities is the greatest
- Therefore the large cities got the money
- Then the US “ran out” of money for small communities (political, fiscal and social dimensions)
- **Helping small communities is expensive; current government allocations address about 5% of the overall need**

# Two extremes of wastewater infrastructure



# What triggers infrastructure change?

- Change in regulation or accountability standards (**e.g. the old way does not work anymore**)
- Access to capital financing (**We have money to fix this problem**)
- Belief that helping the village change is the right thing to do (**leaving a positive legacy for the next generation**) Economic, environmental (or both)
- A commitment that *being on the forefront* of these changes for my village/community helps ensure a place for my children in the future world (**legacy and culture**)

# “Cascade of accountability” (within local regulatory frameworks)


Low



High

- Ignore the problem (no regulation or money available to help)
- “Disposable” systems (single-home systems with little or no regulation)
- Village systems that are “temporary” (interim solutions within a regulated environment awaiting regionalization)
- Permanent solutions meant to meet regulated outcomes (Can be centralized or de-centralized depending on the € available by the central government)

# Wastewater Infrastructure Models

Population Density	Infrastructure Model	Technology Option	O&M Requirement
Lowest	Single Home	Onsite	No central management
	Small Village	Wetlands, Sand Filters, Willow, etc.	Regular (monthly)
	Large Village	Ponds	Periodic (semi-annual)
Highest	Regional	Mechanical treatment	Continuous

# Which infrastructure model is best?

Depends on:

- Size
- Population density
- Land availability
- Climate
- Environmental regulations
- **Management capacity of the community**

# Wastewater systems that seem to be the best suited for small communities



**Ponds**  
(more land intensive)



**Wetlands**  
(less land intensive)

# What is the role of the community? (using the State of Minnesota as an example)

System Type	Number of Systems (2005)	Percentage in Compliance
City	State-wide	90%
Small Community (private management)	65	95%
Small Community (self-managed)	10	70%

# What does the Minnesota data suggest?

- Small community-scale systems (such as ponds and wetlands) can be just as reliable as regional mechanical works, *provided that they are adequately cared for*
- Left to their own resources, small communities had less success in wastewater treatment (70% vs. 90%) compared to large mechanical works
- **With adequate O&M support, small communities had greater success in wastewater treatment (95% vs. 90%) compared to large mechanical works**

# Policy Implications (getting the most benefit out of limited money)

- Choose mechanically simple systems such as ponds and wetlands (minimize O&M)
- Focusing on construction € only addresses part of the problem
- Any wastewater system will only function as well as the O&M needs of that technology is met
- Funding O&M (training, education and labor) is just as important as building appropriate treatment systems

# Thank You!

